IPP

ASDEX Upgrade

Non-linear Simulations of ELMs in ASDEX Upgrade: Status and Plans

Matthias Hölzl, Isabel Krebs,
Karl Lackner, Sibylle Günter

JOREK

- Originally developed at CEA Cadarache

  [G. Huysmans and O. Czarny. *Nucl.Fusion*, 47, 659 (2007)]
- Non-linear reduced MHD in toroidal geometry (next slide)
- Two-fluid extensions
- Full MHD in development

- 2D Bezier finite elements + Fourier decomposition
- Fully implicit time evolution
- GMRES with physics-based preconditioning
- MPI + OpenMP parallelization

Reduced MHD Equations

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \eta j - R\,[u, \Psi] - F_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \phi}$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (D_\perp \nabla_\perp \rho) + S_\rho$$

$$\frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla(\rho T) - \gamma \rho T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \left(K_\perp \nabla_\perp T + K_{||} \nabla_{||} T \right) + S_T$$

$$\mathbf{e}_\phi \cdot \nabla \times \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\rho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \left\{ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\rho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} \right\}$$

$$j \equiv -j_\phi = \Delta^* \Psi$$

$$\omega \equiv -\omega_\phi = \nabla^2_{pol}\, u$$

Variables: Ψ, u, j, ω, ρ, T, $v_{||}$

Definitions: $\mathbf{B} \equiv \frac{F_0}{R} \mathbf{e}_\phi + \frac{1}{R} \nabla \Psi \times \mathbf{e}_\phi$ and $\mathbf{v} \equiv -R \nabla u \times \mathbf{e}_\phi + v_{||} \mathbf{B}$

[H. R. Strauss. *Phys.Fluids*, 19, 134 (1976)]

Typical code run



- ▷ Input from CLISTE
- ▷ Initial grid (Grids shown with reduced resolution)
- ▷ Flux aligned grid including X-point(s)
- ▷ Equilibrium flows
- ▷ Time-integration

Typical code run



- Input from CLISTE
- Initial grid (Grids shown with reduced resolution)
- Flux aligned grid including X-point(s)
- Equilibrium flows
- Time-integration

Typical code run



- Input from CLISTE
- Initial grid (Grids shown with reduced resolution)
- Flux aligned grid including X-point(s)
- Equilibrium flows
- Time-integration

Working with the code

- **Matthias Hölzl** (PostDoc)
  - Edge localized modes
  - Magnetic perturbations
  - Resistive wall model
- **Isabel Krebs** (PhD)
  - JOREK for master thesis and first part of her PhD
  - Mode interaction during ELMs
  - Going to Princeton in September
  - Benchmark with M3D-C1 planned
- **Alexander Lessig** (PhD starting in June)
  - Different ELM types
  - Full ELM cycles
  - Comparisons to experiments
- **Nina Wenke** (Practical till July)
  - CLISTE-JOREK interface
  - Visualization

Equilibrium

- Typical ASDEX Upgrade H-mode equilibrium
- Resistivity too large by factor 10 due to numerical constraints (improving)



$T_e + T_i \approx 12\mathrm{keV}$

$n_e \approx 6 \cdot 10^{19} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

- ASDEX Upgrade: Expanded and localized ELMs observed (distribution)



Signature of a Solitary Magnetic Perturbation in ASDEX Upgrade

[R. P. Wenninger, et al. Nucl.Fusion, 42, 114025 (2012)]

Localized ELMs Poloidal Flux Perturbation



$$n = 0, 8, 16$$

- ▷ Red/blue surfaces correspond to 70 percent of maximum/minimum values

[M. Hölzl, et al. *38th EPS*, P2.078 (2011); M. Hölzl, et al. *Phys.Plasmas*, 19, 082505 (2012b)]

Poloidal Flux Perturbation



$n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, 16$

- Red/blue surfaces correspond to 70 percent of maximum/minimum values
- Localized due to several strong harmonics with adjacent n

$\Rightarrow$ **Similar to Solitary Magnetic Perturbations**

[M. Hölzl, et al. *38th EPS*, P2.078 (2011); M. Hölzl, et al. *Phys.Plasmas*, 19, 082505 (2012b)]





Example for ELM signature with strong low-n component



Histogram of dominant components in a TCV discharge (23 ELMs)

[R. P. Wenninger, et al. Nucl.Fusion (submitted)]

Non-linear Drive



- Non-linear drive of low-n modes
- Start with simplified case including $n = 0, 4, 8, 12, 16$ (periodicity 4)

- Quadratic terms lead to mode coupling $(n_1, n_2) \leftrightarrow n_1 \pm n_2$
- For instance: $(16, 12) \leftrightarrow 4$
- Model assuming mode rigidity and fixed background:

$$\dot{A}_4 = \overbrace{\gamma_4 \, A_4}^{\text{linear}} + \overbrace{\gamma_{8,-4} \, A_8 \, A_4 + \gamma_{12,-8} \, A_{12} \, A_8 + \gamma_{16,-12} \, A_{16} \, A_{12}}^{\text{non-linear interaction}}$$

$$\dot{A}_8 = \gamma_8 \, A_8 + \gamma_{4,4} A_4 \, A_4 + \gamma_{12,-4} A_{12} \, A_4 + \gamma_{16,-8} \, A_{16} \, A_8$$

$$\dot{A}_{12} = \gamma_{12} \, A_{12} + \gamma_{4,8} \, A_4 \, A_8 + \gamma_{16,-4} \, A_{16} \, A_4$$

$$\dot{A}_{16} = \gamma_{16} \, A_{16} + \gamma_{8,8} \, A_8 \, A_8 + \gamma_{4,12} \, A_4 \, A_{12}$$

- Linear growth rates from JOREK simulation + Energy conservation
- Determine few free parameters by minimizing quadratic differences

[I. Krebs. Master's thesis, LMU, Munich (2012)]



- Non-linear drive recovered
- Saturation not recovered (of course)



- Applied to full simulation with $n = 0 \ldots 16$

[I. Krebs, et al. *Phys.Plasmas* (submitted)]

Mode Interaction Simple Model (3)



- Applied to full simulation with $n = 0 \ldots 16$
- **Explains low-n features in experimental observations**

[I. Krebs, et al. *Phys.Plasmas* (submitted)]

Energy Bursts



- Energy time traces during an ELM crash
- Simulation with $n = 0, 8$

Energy Bursts



- Energy time traces during an ELM crash
- Simulation with $n = 0, 8$

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Filament Formation



Detached filaments quickly lose their pressure due to fast parallel heat conduction. Substructures appear in divertor heat flux patterns.

Field Penetration First Results



- Equilibrium with $q = 1$ surface: Influence on plasma rotation (W. Suttrop, M. Dunne)
- Highly preliminary (weekend...)
- ELM-Coils in ASDEX Upgrade
- $n = 1$ configuration
- Boundary condition from modified Vacfield
- Perturbation gradually switched on
- Differences between resonant and non-resonant?

First Results



- Equilibrium with $q = 1$ surface: Influence on plasma rotation (W. Suttrop, M. Dunne)
- Highly preliminary (weekend...)
- ELM-Coils in ASDEX Upgrade
- $n = 1$ configuration
- Boundary condition from modified Vacfield
- Perturbation gradually switched on
- Differences between resonant and non-resonant?

ELM Simulations



- ELM types
- Full ELM cycle
- Experiment comparisons

With A. Lessig, AUG-Team

ELM Mitigation





16 perturbation coils are currently installed in ASDEX Upgrade

[W. Suttrop, et al. *24th IAEA*, EX/3-4 (2012)]

- Simulate ELM mitigation with magnetic perturbations

With F. Orain, AUG-Team

Resistive Walls



Discretization of first ITER wall in the STARWALL code which describes vacuum region and wall currents

[P. Merkel and M. Sempf. 21st IAEA, TH/P3-8 (2006); E. Strumberger, et al. 38th EPS, P5.082 (2011)]

- Interaction of instabilities with conducting structures (RWMs, VDEs, disruptions, . . .) [M. Hölzl, et al. *JPCS*, 401, 012010 (2012a)]

With P. Merkel, G. Huysmans, E. Nardon

Resistive Walls



First benchmarks done: VDE in ITER-like limiter plasma

- Interaction of instabilities with conducting structures (RWMs, VDEs, disruptions, . . .) [M. Hölzl, et al. *JPCS*, 401, 012010 (2012a)]

With P. Merkel, G. Huysmans, E. Nardon

- ▷ Issues with code quality

→ Significantly improved, non-regression testing established (CEA and IPP)

- ▷ Issues with positivity in “violent” instabilities
- ▷ Issues with convergence with many toroidal harmonics
- ▷ Issues with memory consumption and scaling

→ Ongoing work (E. Franck NMPP and CEA)

Summary

→ **Localized ELMs**
→ **Non-linear mode interaction**
→ **Filament formation**
→ **Field penetration**

→ ELM types, full ELM cycle, comparisons
→ ELM mitigation
→ Resistive walls





Summary



- → **Localized ELMs**
- → **Non-linear mode interaction**
- → **Filament formation**
- → **Field penetration**



- → ELM types, full ELM cycle, comparisons
- → ELM mitigation
- → Resistive walls

Summary

→ **Localized ELMs**
→ **Non-linear mode interaction**
→ **Filament formation**
→ **Field penetration**

→ ELM types, full ELM cycle, comparisons
→ ELM mitigation
→ Resistive walls



Summary

→ **Localized ELMs**

→ **Non-linear mode interaction**

→ **Filament formation**

→ **Field penetration**

→ ELM types, full ELM cycle, comparisons

→ ELM mitigation

→ Resistive walls



Summary

→ **Localized ELMs**

→ **Non-linear mode interaction**

→ **Filament formation**

→ **Field penetration**



→ ELM types, full ELM cycle, comparisons

→ ELM mitigation

→ Resistive walls



References

M. Hölzl, et al. *38th EPS*, P2.078 (2011).

M. Hölzl, et al. *JPCS*, 401, 012010 (2012a).

M. Hölzl, et al. *Phys.Plasmas*, 19, 082505 (2012b).

G. Huysmans and O. Czarny. *Nucl.Fusion*, 47, 659 (2007).

I. Krebs. Master's thesis, LMU, Munich (2012).

I. Krebs, et al. *Phys.Plasmas* (submitted).

P. Merkel and M. Sempf. *21st IAEA*, TH/P3-8 (2006).

H. R. Strauss. *Phys.Fluids*, 19, 134 (1976).

E. Strumberger, et al. *38th EPS*, P5.082 (2011).

W. Suttrop, et al. *24th IAEA*, EX/3-4 (2012).

R. P. Wenninger, et al. *Nucl.Fusion*, 42, 114025 (2012).

R. P. Wenninger, et al. *Nucl.Fusion* (submitted).

Slides and Publications

http://me.steindaube.de

Acknowledgements

I. Krebs, K. Lackner, S. Günter,
G. Huysmans, F. Orain, P. Merkel,
E. Nardon, R. Wenninger, E. Strumberger,
M. Dunne, E. Viezzer, M. Bécoulet,
N. Wenke, AUG-Team

$n = 1$ mode structure